



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,536	10/16/2001	Steven C. Wasserman	14531.47.1	5260

7590 12/16/2003

WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY  
1000 Eagle Gate Tower  
60 East South Temple  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

EXAMINER
----------

GURSHMAN, GRIGORY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2132

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/978,536

Applicant(s)

WASSERMAN

Examiner

Grigory Gurshman

Art Unit

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kung (U.S. Patent No. 5,434,918) in view of McAuliffe (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,790).

3. Referring to the instant claims Kung discloses a method for providing mutual authentication of a user and a server on a network (see abstract and Fig.1).

Kung teaches a mutual authentication method for use in authenticating a user that operates a client workstation that is coupled to a file server workstation having a password file comprising a password known to the user (see Fig. 1 and column 1, lines 47-50). Kung teaches that the method comprises the following steps: A logon ID is transmitted from the client workstation to the server workstation. The stored user password corresponding to the user ID is retrieved using the transmitted logon ID is retrieved from the password file. A random number is created that is encrypted by a symmetric encryption algorithm on the server workstation using the retrieved user password, and which provides an encrypted password. The user is then requested to enter the password into the user workstation. The entered password is used to decrypt the encrypted password received from the server workstation and retrieve the random number

Art Unit: 2132

therefrom to authenticate the server workstation. The random number is then used as the encryption and decryption key for communication between the user and server workstations. An encrypted message is transmitted using the random number from the client workstation to the server workstation. The encrypted message is decrypted at the server workstation to authenticate the user (see column 1, lines 53-68).

4. Referring to claims 2, 5, 8, 12, 15, 18 and 20 the limitation

“ generating a server authentication request at the client;

transmitting the server authentication request to the server “

is met by a logon ID transmitted from the client workstation to the server workstation (see Fig.2. block 31). The limitation “...receiving an encrypted server authentication response from the server...” is met by encrypted password received from the server workstation (see Fig. 2, block 35). The limitation “...decrypting the server authentication response...” is met by password entered by the user at workstation (11 in Fig.1), which is used to decrypt the encrypted password received from the server workstation (see Fig.2, block 35).

Kung, however, does not explicitly teach disabling client functions if the server is not authorized to provide resource to the client.

5. Referring to the instant claims, McAuliffe discloses an advertisement authentication system in which advertisements are downloaded for off-line display (see abstract and Fig. 1A). McAuliffe shows a client computer connected to the server computers over the network (see units 2 and 20, 22, 24, 25, 27 in Fig. 1A). McAuliffe teaches advertisement authentication system capable of detecting various forms of advertisement and statistics file tampering. McAuliffe teaches that client software disabling are instituted after multiple incidents of "tampering" are detected within a short time period (see column 11, lines 9-12). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would

Art Unit: 2132

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system for authentication of a user and a server on a network of Kung by disable client functions in case of detection of tempering (i.e. negative result of authentication) as taught in McAuliffe. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to disable client functions in case of a negative result of authentication as taught in McAuliffe for making sure that the advertisements are properly displayed at a remote computer (see McAuliffe, abstract).

6. Referring to claim 4, 8, 9, 14, 18 and 19 the limitations "disable one or more functions until after a grace period" and "after an allotted period of time..." is met by disabling client functions after a number of incidents of "tampering" in a time period (see McAuliffe, column 11, lines 9 -12).

7. Referring to claims 5 and 10, McAuliffe shows the client authenticating the downloads from multiple servers (see units 2 and 20, 22, 24, 25, 27 in Fig. 1A).

8. Referring to claim 7 and 17, Kung teaches that a random number is created that is encrypted by a symmetric encryption algorithm on the server workstation using the retrieved user password, and which provides an encrypted password (column 1, lines 53-68).

9. Claims 6, 11, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kung (U.S. Patent No. 5.434.918) in view of McAuliffe (U.S. Patent No. 5.838.790) and further in view Guthrie (U.S. Patent No. 6.161.185).

10. Referring to the instant claims, Kung and McAuliffe teach disabling client functions when server authentication response fails to indicate that server is authorized to provide resources. Kung and McAuliffe, however, do not explicitly teach determining when a subsequent authentication response should occur based on expiration information.

11. Referring to the instant claims, Guthrie discloses personal

Art Unit: 2132

authentication system and method for multiple computer platform (see abstract). Guthrie shows a client-server system (see Figs. 1A and 1B). Guthrie teaches determining weather authentication request had been made during the expiration notification time (see column 9, lines 40-55). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a server authentication response of Kung and McAuliffe comprising expiration information and to determine weather authentication response had occurred as taught in Guthrie. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a server authentication response of Kung and McAuliffe comprising expiration information and to determine weather authentication response had occurred as taught in Guthrie for allowing a user to attempt to authenticate himself for a configurable number of allowances after his password expiration time value has passed (see Guthrie column 9, lines 50-55).

### ***Conclusion***

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent No. 5,958,004 to Helland et al

U.S. Patent No. 6,049,832 to Brim et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grigory Gurshman whose telephone number is (703) 306-2900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.



December 10, 2003  
GG

Grigory Gurshman  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2132



GILBERTO BARRON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100